The Next Strategic Development Plan for IFPP

Decisions at the 11th PAS-meeting in Budapest

- "There was agreement that the ongoing process towards the development of the next SDP should be a top priority. The PAS Secretariat needs to follow this process closely, and will report on developments to PAS members when appropriate.
- It was also suggested that PAS member be surveyed to obtain information about what members believe should be included in the next SDP as the committee's top priorities"

The SDP – what it is

Citation from the SDP 2017-2019:

"The SDP provides the general strategy and overall working plan for the development of INTOSAI principles, standards and guidance on auditing"

Process for Developing the next Strategic Development Plan for the IFPP

INTOSAI Framework of Professional Pronouncements (To take effect from INCOSAI 2019)

Scanning Phase March - June 2018

- •Step 1: FIPP reviews existing binding policies and plans (March 2018)
- Step 2: FIPP lists the ideas for potential areas and a develop a proposal on the planning process (March 2018)
- Step 3: PSC SteerCom including Goal Chairs approves the organization of the planning process (May 2018)
- Step 4: PSC SteerCom including Goal Chairs establishes the vision and criteria that will set up the basis for the development of the SDP (May 2018)

Gathering inputs Phase June - Oct 2018

Inputs from 3 sources:

- •1. INTOSAI community and stakeholders
- •Step 1: Goal chairs draft "Consultation Paper" with FIPP inputs (June 2018)
- •Step 2: PSC Secr invites INTOSAI community and stakeholders to make suggestions for SDP (July 2018)
- •Step 3: Consultation period (July Sept 2018)
- •Step 4: Goal Chairs screen and organize the inputs and forward them to FIPP (Oct 2018)

•2. Review by Working Groups

- •Step 1 PSC, CBC and KSC Chairs invite working groups to review needs within their areas of expertise (June - Sept-2018)
- •Step 2 Working groups review needs and elaborate suggestions for the SDP (July -Sept 2018)
- •Step 3 PSC, CBC and KSC Chairs organize the inputs and forward them to FIPP (Oct 2018)

•3. Cross-cutting review

•FIPP reviews the consistency, clarity and adequacy of the IFPP (June - Sept 2018)

Development Phase Aug 2018 - May 2019

•Step 1: Goal Chairs provide a template for the SDP to FIPP (Aug 2018)

- •Step 2: FIPP reviews and short-lists individual inputs and suggestions for the SDP (Nov - March 2019)
- •Step 3: FIPP initial scoping of potential projects (scope and resource requirements) (Nov - Apr 2019)
- •Step 4: FIPP submit proposal on the SDP to the PSC SteerCom (Apr 2019)
- •Step 5: Goal Chairs assess feasibility based on resource requirements (May 2019)

Approval Phase June - Sept 2019

- •Step 1: PSC SteerCom including Goal Chairs approves the SDP final draft (June 2019)
- •Step 2: PSC Secr consults with affected parties as needed (as Due Process) (July 2019)
- •Step 3: Governing Board endorses the SDP (Sept 2019)
- •Step 4: Goal Chairs launch SDP at INCOSAI (Sept 2019)

Some thoughts on the "Gathering inputs phase"

- Broad involvement both of SAIs and INTOSAI entities by PSC chair
- Not entirely clear how and why suggestions are prioritised
- A number of ailments where a pronouncement is not the right medicine?

PAS process

- July 30th 2018: Consultation call to PAS members on potential new SDP projects
- October 3rd 2018: PAS conclusions sent to PSC
- January 17th 2019: FIPP shortlist sent to subcommittees for comments
- February 12th 2019: PAS chair provided comments to FIPP shortlist

PAS conclusions of Oct 3rd

- No need for new projects related to the ISSAI 3000
- Broad assessment of the IFPP
 - harmonization
 - language
 - technical quality
- IFPP GUIDs should be directly related to standard issues
- Subject matter specific guidance should be taken out of the IFPP

Suggested projects in next SDP

- Variable clarity regarding content somewhat difficult to assess
- Difficult also to assess need of resources
- ... but not too bad 🙂
 - Most initiatives going forward have good merit
 - Important initiatives for an improved IFPP

A number of «potential projects»?

- Some SDP-suggestions wide in scope and proposed to be based on «research initiatives»
- Difficult to know the potential result
- Next SDP should be focussed and lean
- Sequencing should not forget the reason for establishment of IFPP and FIPP

FIPP – an active role in development?

A. Project proposal on revision of *ISSAI 140 Quality Control for SAIs. The initiative to <u>be taken</u>*

An initial assessment will be launched in order to develop a project proposal on a revision of ISSAI 140 – Quality Control for SAIs.

Initial scoping of the initiative - what is the next step?

Before the project is launched, FIPP will need the assistance of an initial project group and the technical supporting function (TSF) to carry out the following preparations:

- Gather evidence on the systems of quality control applied within the SAIs across the INTOSAI community
- Consider the outcome of IFACs/IAABSs project on quality control and revision of ISQC-1 and identify the different possible scenarios for how these results may be drawn upon in the project
- Consider the relation with ISSAI 130in order to determine whether and how these related pronouncements may be affected by the project
- Suggest strategy for aligning INTOSAI concepts and terminology with IFAC/IAASB concepts and terminology.

FIPP will, with the aid of the TSF also establish drafting conventions for the organizational requirements applicable to the new ISSAI 140 as well as any future revisions of ISSAIs within this category.

The 9000 initiative not included by FIPP

• How to proceed with alignment of ISSAI 3000 with GUID 9400?



Subject matter specific guidance

Is valuable

Very valuable «global public goods» produced by a number of INTOSAI working groups

Knowledge sharing between auditors is extremely important

However...

Sharing knowledge is not a standard issue

It cannot be about "what performance audit is"

Is mainly about subject matter knowledge?

Subject matter specific guidance

- should not be part of the IFPP and thus not part of the next SDP

INTOSAI Framework of Professional Pronouncements (IFPP)





Conclusion PSC-Steering Committee meeting 2018

"Questions were raised whether subject matter guidance should be part of the framework. These documents can be useful for SAIs, but not necessarily as professional pronouncements.

As the IFPP was recently approved, at this stage no further considerations will be given to reconsider the structure of the IFPP. Lower priority should be given to this kind of guidance in the next SDP"

Subject matter guidance

- should not be part of the IFPP
- Thus, no such projects in the next SDP
- A good thing very few such suggestions for the next SDP so far

Conclusion needed

We should conclude which potential projects should be a priority for the PAS

So next: A walkthrough of the FIPP shortlist